### Morthwestern Medicine Feinberg School of Medicine

Bianka Progri, MS<sup>1</sup>, Taylor Hallman, BS<sup>1</sup>, Kathryn R. Reisner, BA<sup>1</sup>, Anitesh Bajaj, BS<sup>1,2</sup>, Gabrielle C. Rodriguez, MD<sup>1</sup>, Joanna K. Ledwon, PhD<sup>1,2</sup>, Arun K. Gosain, MD<sup>1,2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, <sup>2</sup>Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Research Institute, Chicago, IL, USA



Figure 1. Outline of experimental design.

Study design: To support tumor growth an estrogen pellet was inserted subcutaneously three days before MCF7-luc breast cancer cells implantation into the mammary gland. The *in vivo* tumor growth was evaluated weekly immediately after luciferin injection using LAGO bioluminescence imaging system through the study endpoint. Once the tumor reached the desired size (bioluminescence intensity ~1x10<sup>8</sup>) DFO patches were applied daily for 28 days. Non-treated mice served as a control. Changes in mice weight and activity were monitored. On the day of tissue harvesting, tumor and skin tissue were preserved to evaluate DFO effect on cell proliferation and vascularization. Tumor volume and weight were recorded.

# Assessing the Effects of Transdermal DFO patch on Mammary Tumor Growth.

Figure 3. There were no changes in tumor weight and tumor volume between DFO treated tumors and controls. (A) Representative graph for tumor weight changes between controls and DFO-treated tumors.(B) Representative graph for tumor volume changes between controls and DFO-treated tumors.

**Control Treated** 

 $\bullet$   $\bullet$ 

150 **-**

100 -

50 -

Г Г



## Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital of Chicago®



Figure 4. Bioluminescence assay, using Lago imaging showed no difference in tumor growth over the period of 28 days between DFO-treated tumors and controls, indicating that topical DFO does not affect tumor growth. (A) Representative image of Bioluminescence assay via Lago X imaging system. (B) Graph representing fold change of tumor growth between DFO treated and control tumor over a period of 28 days.







Figure 5. Quantitative analysis of IF staining for Ki-67 showed no significant changes in cancer cell proliferation between untreated tumors compared to DFO-treated group. Conversely, a decrease in VEGF (Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor) levels detected by qRT-PCR data indicates a reduction in angiogenesis or blood vessel formation in DFO treated tumors compared to controls. (A) Representative images of IF staining for Ki-67 in controls and DFO treated tumors. (B) Quantitative analysis of the number of Ki-67 positive cells for controls and DFO treated tumors. (C) Representative graph of relative expression of VEGF using qRT-

## CONCLUSIONS

• Topical DFO improves skin vascularization through HIF1a stabilization. • Topical DFO does not stimulate cancer cell proliferation and tumor growth

• Our study suggests that topical DFO exhibits anti-angiogenic effect on cancer

Further studies are required to explore the effect of DFO on tumor