Improving Accuracy of Administrative Data for Perforated Appendicitis Classification

Martha-Conley Ingram MD MPH, Andrew Hu MBChB MS, Yao Tian PhD MPH, Seyed Arshia Arshad MD, MS, Amanda Witte MD, Olivia A. Keane MD, Goeto Dantes MD, Steven C. Mehl MD, Parker T. Evans, MD, Matthew T. Santore MD, Kyle Van Arendonk MD PhD, Unice Y. Huang MD MS, KuoJen Tsao MD, Kyle Van Arendonk MD PhD, Martin L. Blakely, MD MS, Mehul V. Raval MD MS

BACKGROUND

- Appendicitis is a common indication for urgent surgery in the United States
- Perforated appendicitis is associated with substantial morbidity and cost. Perforated appendix admission rates are important quality metrics
- International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision (ICD-10) codes are commonly used to identify perforated appendicitis in administrative data
- Accuracy of initial ICD-10 codes in identifying perforated appendicitis has been shown to be poor
- No scalable solution to correct ICD-10 coding errors exists

RESEARCH OBJECTIVE

To develop a validated algorithm that can improve the accuracy of ICD-10 codes for perforated appendicitis classification

METHODS

Pediatric Health Information System (PHIS) from 2015 – 2018 and from 8 participating hospitals

Inclusion criteria

- \leq 18 years old
- Had a ICD-10 diagnosis code indicating either perforated or non-perforated appendicitis

Clinical Validation

 Perforation status was validated after review of electronic medical record.

Study variables

- Classification and Regression Tree (CART) and Lasso Logistic Regression algorithms were compared against existing ICD-10 based algorithms (ICD-10 code K352 or K353 and ICD-10 code K352)
- Performance compared using Receiver Operating characteristic curves

Clinically validated administrative data can be used to develop algorithms that improve the accuracy of **ICD-10 codes for perforated** appendicitis in administrative databases

Our Classification and **Regression Tree algorithm** represents an accurate method of classifying perforated appendicitis and can be easily used by clinicians and health services researchers.

@AndrewHuMBChB @mehulraval Questions: Andrew.hu1@northwestern.edu

RESULTS

- analysis

Figure 1. Classification and Regression Tree Algorithm



Figure 2. Comparison of ROC Curves Between Machine Learning and ICD-10 Algorithms



LIMITATIONS

Only includes the PHIS database and may not be applicable to other administrative databases

1037 encounters from 8 hospitals included in final

ICD-10 code K352 or K353 AUC: 0.80 (95% CI 0.78,0.82); ICD-10 code K352 AUC: 0.78 (95% CI 0.75-0.80); CART AUC 0.91 (95% CI 0.89-0.93); Lasso AUC 0.90 (95% CI 0.89 – 0.92)

Baseline error rate in appendicitis identification

Reference standard based on **retrospective review** Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital of Chicago®